


---

## How to Save Dutch Elm Diseased Trees by Pruning



United States Department of Agriculture  
Forest Service  
NA-GR-9  
1979


---

### Introduction

Dutch elm disease (DED), caused by the fungus, *Ceratocystis ulmi*, is the most devastating shade tree disease in the United States. Healthy elms can become diseased by 1) elm bark beetles that carry the fungus from elm to elm, or 2) through root grafting with already infected trees. Along with wilt symptoms, streaking (sapwood discoloration), a characteristic internal symptom of the disease, progresses rapidly down from limbs inoculated by bark beetles (Allison 1978).

Frequent surveys to detect elms in the initial stage of the disease and applying treatments can minimize elm losses. The following treatments can reduce DED incidence: 1) prompt removal of DED trees, 2) insecticide foliar spray, 3) timely chemical or mechanical destruction of root grafts, 4) injection of adequate dosage of registered systemic fungicides, and 5) combinations of the above.

Several researchers have tested pruning as a means of DED control. In 1976, Himlick and Ceplecha reported that over 60 percent of the diseased elms were freed of the disease by pruning as soon as wilt symptoms appeared. The success of a control program that utilized pruning depends on the distance between where the limb is pruned off and the streaked wood (see Fig. 1). Campana




(1976) found that the maximum success (87 percent) with pruning was obtained when the distance from where the limb was cut off to clearwood (nonstreaked) as 10 feet or more.

In 1976 a research project to evaluate pruning and fungicide injection was conducted in Elmhurst, Illinois, and Shaker Heights, Ohio. Results showed that success in freeing elm of Dutch elm disease by pruning depends on the extent of foliar symptoms and whether all limbs with streaking can be pruned out. Specifically, as the distance between the point where streaking ends and where the limb is severed increases, so do the chances of successfully eliminating the disease from the tree.

**Figure 1. (right):** Steps to follow when pruning.

The relationship between percentage of wilt symptoms in a tree and control success is shown below:

Percentage of tree infected



The following show how the amount of nonstreaked (clearwood) wood affects control success:

*Distance (ft) of clearwood from  
where the limb is cut off to  
streaked wood*

---

|  |    |     |      |     |
|--|----|-----|------|-----|
| Distance<br>(ft)                         | 0  | 0-5 | 5-10 | 10+ |
| Percentage<br>of trees of<br>active wilt | 10 | 33  | 63   | 67  |

Pruning for DED control is generally acceptably successful when no more than 5 percent of the tree's foliage shows wilt symptoms or at least 5 feet of clearwood is present between the discolored portion of a branch and the main branch stem of trees (Gregory and Allison 1979).

---

### **Steps to Follow When Pruning:**

1. Look for Dutch elm disease symptoms (Allison 1978)
2. Peel bark and look for streaking in sapwood of infected twig.
3. Determine where streaking stops in symptomatic limb by removing a series of small bark patches from tip toward main stem.
4. Remove limb that shows streaking. Prune off limb at the first major fork where streaking is no longer visible and where at least 5 feet of clearwood is present.
5. Continue to examine trees during the season for additional Dutch elm disease symptoms.
6. Steps 2, 3, and 4 if additional branches develop symptoms.

## Literature Cited

Allison, J. R. 1978. How to identify Dutch elm disease. USDA Forest Service, Northeastern Area State and Private Forestry, Broomall, PA.

Campana, R. G. 1975 Tracing Dutch elm disease infection following excision of infected branches. (Abstr.) Am. Phytopathol. Soc. 2:95.

Gregory, G. F., and J. R. Allison. 1979. The comparative effectiveness of pruning versus pruning plus injection of trunk and/or limb for therapy of Dutch elm disease in American elms. J. Arboric. 5(1):1-4.

- **J. R. Allison**  
Plant Pathologist  
Northeastern Area, State and Private Forestry  
USDA, Forest Service  
Delaware, Ohio
- **G. F. Gregory**  
Principal Plant Pathologist  
USDA, Forest Service  
Delaware, Ohio

---

This publication was originally prepared in 1979. It was converted to electronic format in 1998, but the content was not revised.

---

